

REMARKS

This Amendment supplements the Amendment timely filed August 14, 2003 in response to the Office Action dated May 14, 2003. In this Supplemental Amendment, the amendments to the Abstract begin on a separate page. All other parts of the August 14, 2003 Amendment, other than sections starting pages remain the same.

This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action dated May 14, 2003. In that Action, the Examiner objected to the Specification and Claims 10-14 and 16. Claim 6 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Claims 1-7, 11 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Strolenberg. Claims 9, 10, 15 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Miller. Claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Strolenberg in view of Miller. Claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in view of Lacousiere. Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Strolenberg in view of Hallstrom. Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's objections and rejections and offers the foregoing amendments and following remarks in support thereof.

Claims 2 and 17-21 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 9-16 have been amended. Claims 22-26 have been added. No new matter has been inserted. Claims 1, 3-16 and 22-26 remain pending in the application. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the Examiner's rejections.

Applicant has amended the Specification and Claims to overcome the Examiner's objections and Section 112 rejections.

As to the Strolenberg and Miller patents, the Strolenberg and Miller patent both fail to teach of a restraint having an adjustable neck opening size to snugly engage a wide range of different wear neck sizes. In use Strolenberg and Miller provide a single size neck opening. Thus, neither Strolenberg or Miller

In re application of: William L. Courtney
Serial No. 10/021,519
Page 9

provide a single restraint which is adjustable to substantially fill the area beneath the chin for different neck size wearers. Thus, neither Strolenberg nor Miller in a single restraint maintain the head of the user in a desired position.

The dependent claims provide further distinguishing features between Applicant's claimed invention and the inventions disclosed in Strolenberg and Miller.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections based on Maness, as well as the other cited patents.

Applicant has completely responded to the Office Action dated May 14, 2003.

If there are any additional charges, including extension of time, please bill our Deposit Account No. 13-1130.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel S. Polley, Reg. No. 34,902
Malin, Haley & DiMaggio, P.A.
1936 South Andrews Avenue
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33316
(954) 763-3303